Contd. II-48—20,202—4-2-23.

L. S. G. (1923) Department.

No. SERIES.

G.O. No. 1676 P. H.

DATED 6 - 10 - 23

[Despatch Abstract.]

Medical Institution - Gangolly - proposal of the President, Taluk Board, Coondapoor to place the post Health Officer in Charge of a dispensary - Dropped

G.F. 29—5,000—7-7-20.

DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* 1512 192 .

C. 9c. 10 3-

Subject.

Proposal to move the Government to sanction the continuance of the Port Health office at Gangolly throughout the whole year instead of only in the trading season and to order the Port Health Officer to treat patients with the medicines supplied by the Taluk Board.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

&c No. 10 3/C 3 1/2 0

Station Coondapoor, *Dated the* 12th January 1922.

From (Name) M R Ry. H. Madappaya Avl
(Designation) President, Taluk Board Coondapoor.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Local Self Government Department.
(Through The President, District Board, South Kanara)

Sir,

I have the honour to enclose herewith a copy of the resolution of the Taluk Board and to state as follows:—

Gangolly is the port of Coondapoor separated by a big river which is very difficult to cross especially in the rainy season. There is a large population of over 6000 there. The people of Gangolly urge ~~from~~ for the institution of a dispensary there. But the Taluk Board is not in a position to comply with their requests for want of funds.

A port-health Officer of the grade of a civil Sub-Assistant Surgeon is posted there every year to inspect the native vessels coming in and going out of the port. I understand that officer has very little work at this port and he may (3) conveniently attend to dispensing medicines to patients without prejudice to his work as Port-Health Officer. The Taluk Board will arrange to supply necessary medicines at its cost. This arrangement will relieve the people of Gangolly and of the neighbouring villages from the difficulties and hardships of crossing the river.

Item 33, Page 141 of medical Code

I have consulted the District medical and sanitary Officer, who says "It is true the river is a difficult one to cross and there is a large population at Gangolly. The institution of a dispensary at Gangolly would be a great benefit." x x x.

5. I therefore request that you will be so good as to move the Government to sanction the continuance of the Port Health Officer throughout the whole year instead of only in the trading season and to meet the salary etc from Provincial Funds without any contribution from the Taluk Board, with orders that he should treat patients with the medicines supplied by the Taluk Board at its cost. (Erase 3) three only.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant.

H. [illegible]
President
Taluk Board

VM
12.1.22

3

No.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MEDICAL & SANITARY OFFICER SOUTH CANARA
FEB 13 1922

Extract from the Proceedings of the Meeting of the Taluk Board of Coondapoor, dated 20th December 1921.

PRESENT:

M. R Ry H. Hadappaya Avl President.
" N. Subbannaya "
Reverend Peter R. D'Souza
M. R Ry B. Shankraya Shetty Avl
Yusufjee Mohidin Saheb Bahadur.
M. R Ry S. Anthaya Shetty Avl
" H. Laxminarayana Kamath Avl
" M. Sheshagiriappa Avl
" K. Venkat Rao "
" V. Narashima Karantha Avl

× × × × ×

171. Read letter of Taluk Board member — M. R Ry H. Laxminarayana Kamath Avl proposing that Government may be moved to sanction the continuance of the Port Health office at Gangolly throughout the whole year instead of only in the trading season and to order the Port Health Officer to treat people with the medicines to be supplied by the Taluk Board Etc.

Also remarks of the D. M & S. 6 in the matter.

Also petition of the villagers of Gangolly

requesting that a medical institution may be opened there and a midwife may be appointed. &c

The Board resolves to address the Government in the matter.

× × × ×

|Sd| H. Madappaya
President.

|True Extract.|

VM
12.1.'22

President
Coondapoor Tk Bd

Rep

5

N. Dis on C.No 103/22
D.B.

Endorsement by M.R.Ry Rao Bahadur N. Subba Rao Avargal, President, District Board, South Kanara.

Forwarded and recommended.

President, District Board, South Kanara.

Mangalore,
23rd January 1922)

23/1/22.

Secrt. II—10 (*b*)—12-1-16.

L. S. G. (P. H.) DEPARTMENT.

	Initials.	Date.
Received in Fair-copying Section.		
Copied		
Examined		
Signed		
Despatched		

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated ..... 191 .

" " " " ....., " ..... 191 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1512, From the P. J. B, Coondapur, No. 10 D/e, J.B/22 dated 12-1-1922

" " ....., " " P D B, S. Kanara, " 103/D B/22, " 23-1-1922

" " ....., " " ....., " ....., " ..... 191 .

Govt

ORDER.

No. 1512-1, P 4 dated 2/2/22 191 .

Referred to the Surgeon-General for favour of early remarks.

MP
30/1.

BMR
1/2/22

Dfmd
30/1

X Rud
2/2

I to S.G (with Current in original)

Dfmd
8/2/22

C.F 29 -9,678 20-11-19.

LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT **DEPARTMENT.**

D.



Received -5 MAR 1922 19 . *Registered* 04292 19 .

Subject.

Gangolly - Port Health Office continuance of .....
throughout the whole year.

ENCLOSURES.
No. Three
.....
Spare copies. Nil

Ref. No. 630 G-2

Station Madras *Dated the* 3rd March 1922.

From (Name) Major-General C.G.Gifford,C.S.I.,K.H.S., I.M.S

(Designation) Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT (PUBLIC HEALTH) Department.

Sir,

In returning herewith letter No.10/D.C.T.B., dated 12th January 1922, from the President, Taluk Board, Coondapur, plants p 6 ante received with Government Endorsement No.1512-1 P.H., dated 2nd February 1922, I have the honour to state that the suggestion of having a Sub-assistant surgeon throughout the year at Gangolly is a good one. It is especially during the monsoon when the port is closed, that his services are required as the crossing of the river is difficult and not without danger. A fair trial might be given to the proposal. A suitable building could be obtained and equipped and if the attendance justifies the expenditure, a permanent

dispensary

ensary building might be erected when funds permit.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Major-General, I.M.S.,

Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

Scott. II-8 (e)—18,008—2-2-22.

L. S. G. (P.H.) Dept

	Initials.	Date.
Received in Fair-copying Section.		
Copied ..		
Examined ..		
Signed ..		
Despatched ..		

pp 1-5 & 1512, from the P.T.B, Coondapur,
no: 10 d/c T.B/22 d 12-1-22
from the P.D.B, S. Kanara.
no. 103/DB/22 d 23-1-22

pp 7-8 & 42 92, from the S-G, no 630 G-2
d 3-3-1922.

W.S.

Memo. 4292-10H dy 14.6.22
The P.T.B, Coondapur
is requested to report at an
early date what the Taluk
Board now spends on account
of the Port Health Officer at
Gangolly.

BTR ✓
18/6/22

MP
10/6/22
KRW
16.6.22

CB ✓ 15/6/22

To the P.T.B, Coondapur (Etom)

Dpd 8-15/6/22

C.F. 99—5,000—7-7-20.

DEPARTMENT.

Received 27 JUN 1922 192 . *Registered* 12579/8 192 .

Subject.

Park Stealth affiecr Gangally-

Reporting that the Taluk Board of Coondapur does not spend — anything on account of the ———

ENCLOSURES.
No. nil.
Spare copies

Ref: On C. No. 774/C.J.B/22

Station Coondapur, *Dated the* 20th June 1922.

From (Name) M. R. Ry. K. Nadappaya Avl
(Designation) Receident, Taluk Board Coondapur

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Local Self Government Department.

Sir,

1 Encln With reference to Government Memorandum No. 4292-1 O.N. dated the 14th instant, I have the honour to report that this Taluk Board does not spend anything now on account of the Park Stealth affiecr Gangally. This may as well as all

11

all other charges in connection with the Port-Health office are met from Provincial Funds.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
For President

Central

Rec'd
20.6.22.

C.F.—87.

DEPARTMENT Medical

11 AUG 1922

Ref No. 630G

15965

OFFICE OF Surgeon General with the Govt Madras.

STATION Madras

Dated 10th August 1922

From

The Surgeon General
with the Government of Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government
Local Self Government, (Public Health) Department
Madras

SIR,

I have the honour to request an early reply to the communication noted on the margin.

This office ref 630G-2 dated 3-3-22
re continuance of the Port Health
office at Gangolly throughout
the year.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Signature. Major. I.M.S.

for Surgeon General with the Govt of Madras

C F 29 -9,678 20 11-19.

Local Self Government (P.H.) DEPARTMENT.

Received 20 OCT 1922 19 . Registered 19

Subject.

DS. L.S.(P.H.)

The S.G. may be reminded again on 25-11-22.

Again 25-12-22.

TJNR 8/11

Enclosures.
No. nil
Spare copies nil

Ref. No. 630 G-3

Station Madras. Dated the 27th October 1922.

From (Name) Major-General G.G. Giffard, C.S.I., K.H.S., I.M.S.,
(Designation) Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Local Self Government (P.H.) Department.

Sir,

p 5 ante.

Reference :- Government U.O. No.19039-1 P.H., dated 15-9-22 and reminder thereon dated 18-10-22.

The question of opening of dispensary at Gangolly, South Kanara, is under consideration in connection with Government memorandum No. 1560/22-6, dated 21st August 1922, regarding allowances of officers for Port duties.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Major-General, I.M.S.,
Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

C.F 29 9,678 20 11-19.

Local Self Government (Public Health) **DEPARTMENT.**

Received ..... 19 . *Registered* 25486 19

Subject. DS

L. S. G (P.H) dept

May be added to the file and the P.G. reminded again on 15-1-23.

DSR 14-12-22

BNR 18/12

Entered in diary

ENCLOSURES.
No. ....
Spare copies .....

Ref. No. 630-4 General.

Station Vadras. *Dated the* 13th December 1922.

From (Name) Major-General G.G.Giffard, C.S.I., K.H.S., I.M.S.,
(Designation) Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Local Self Government (Public Health) Department.

Sir, page 5 ante.

Reference. Reminder dated 1st December 1922 to Government Memorandum No.19039-1 P.H., dated 15th Septr.1922 regarding opening of a dispensary at Gangolly, South Kanara.

The matter is still under consideration in connection with the question of granting allowance to Officers for Port Health duties.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

L. M & S.
For Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

L&S(PH) Dept.

Medl visits – Langolly – S. Kanara Dt.

C.f. { C. 1512. From the PTB, Coondapur, No Dis No 10/c dy 12-1-22
4492 " S.G. No 630/c-2 dy 3-3-22.

Ans.

In the circumstances stated in the Presidents' letter, the Govt may accept the necessity for ~~opening~~ a dispensary at Langolly.

2. The usual arrangement for port health work at stations where there are local fund or Muni institutions is to entrust the work to the medl officer in charge of such visits and to pay him a suitable allowance. Owing to the absence of a dispensary at Langolly, the Govt are obliged to have a full time Sub-Asst surgeon at the place during the trading season* for port health work. The Taluk Board takes advantage of this fact and asks for the permanent retention of the sub-asst surgeon at the cost of the Govt for affording medl relief to the inhabitants of the place, a duty which primarily rests on the Taluk Board. It is submitted that the utmost that the Govt can

* September to May or June.

agree to seems to be - to contribute towards the establishment of a dispensary ~~at~~ ~~the place~~ a sum equivalent to the amount now spent on the Port health Officer and to require the med officer of the dispensary to attend to the Port health work also. A draft memo asking the T.B. if it agrees to this proposal is subd for approval. It may issue with the Concurrence of the Finance (Marine) Dept.

for
6/8/57

S.
TBR
18/8/57

What does the Bd now spend on the Port Ho?

2/8/57

2V

US.

Submitted that the information required is not available in the Office. The Admn Report of the S. Kanara Dt Board has been thoroughly examined. Under the Circumstances the P.T.B, Coondapur may be asked what the Taluk Board at present spends on the Port Health Office. The draft memo below is subd. for approval.

Admn report of the ... not available ...

MP
19/8/57
KGV
19/8/57

TBR
13/8/57

p. 9 cf. [Memo. No. 1292-1 P.H. dated 14-6-22]
pp 10-11 cf. C.No. 12579 from the P.T.B. Condapur No. 774/C.T.B. dated 20-6-22

H.S. With reference to the Secretary's query (page 2 ante) it is submitted that the Taluk Board does not spend anything on account of the Port Health Officer at Gangolly. The draft memo already submitted may issue with the approval of the Finance (Marine) Dept.

4/7/22
5/7/22

Fin. Marine } no

8/7/22

L.S.G. no. 12579-1 P.H. 14/7/22

No. 1239 Mar

Finance (Mar) Deptt

As stated in the L.S.G. Deptt's note on p1 ante the usual arrangement for Port Health work at Stations where there are Local Fund or municipal institutions is to entrust the work to the officer in charge of such institutions and to give him a suitable allce. If there were such an institution at Gangolly the officer in charge would have been given an allowance of Rs 10 a month or so. And even this class of allowance it is

proposed to abolish. In fact the L.S.G. Deptt have already decided the abolition of such allowances and it is proposed to ask the Surgeon General whether there is any reason to treat port health work as specially deserving of an extra allowance and, if there is, what rates of allce might be adopted. If it is decided not to give any allowance to Sub asst Surgeons on account of port health work it would follow that the Sub asst Surgeon at Gangolly (if the Taluk Board employ one there) will not be eligible for any allowance from Govt at all.

Para 3 ? G.O. 1157 dt 3-9-21 (T.) File Sent to LSG for acceptance of draft

2/ But the circumstances in regard to Gangolly are, in a way, special. There is no taluk board Sub-asst Surgeon there and the Govt (Central Revenues) bear the whole charge of the office for such portions of the year as there is port-health work at Gangolly (i.e. for about 8½ months every year). If the Taluk Bd. do not open a dispensary at Gangolly this expenditure will apparently have to continue and it may therefore be desirable to come to an arrangement with the Board. To continue to bear this amount, as the draft memo proposes, would be no benefit to Govt. They would only give up a whole-time man and get part-time service from him without a corresponding advantage. In

M

vide para 1 G.O. 389/159 21 (C)

D/ this view the offer of a smaller contribution would seem justifiable. What it should be will depend on what arrangements are arrived at in regard to other ports. Perhaps the best thing will be to bring these facts to the notice of the S.G. and ask him when formulating his proposals for all the ports to advise about Bangalore in particular.

The file may be sent to L.S.G. with the above remarks.

SB
28/7/22

S/L
1-8-22
S

S/L is aware that if a man can run a dispensary he should be able for most of the day even many days, & I am not sure in my own mind. I seem to recollect that the man started being supported on L.F. & the people by Contn. & part of this only will Labs create?

No 19039-1 P.H
15.9.22

AW L.S.G. u.o.

Z/L
2-8-22

Marine no D No 1239/22-1 WS.
D 3-8-22

LSGOM:

The S/L may be asked to state what arrangements he would propose for running the dispensary. ~~The file may be returned~~

15457

S/L 11/8/22 S.G. J. BR 14/8/

Ref.630-G-4 of 22. Surgeon-General's Office,

3rd May 1923.

Please see my letter No.3221-E-3 dated 20th April 1923, in which I have reported that a whole time Sub-assistant surgeon is required at Gangolly for 9 months in the year for Port Health work. If a dispensary is opened at this station the Sub-assistant surgeon in charge of the dispensary may also look after the port health duties. The question of the contribution to be levied from the Taluk Board is one for Government to decide.

for Surgeon-General with the Govt.

9.5.23

L. S. G. (P. H.) Deptt.

Please see the remarks of the Finance Secy. on page 5 ante and the copy of S.G.'s letter No. 3221-E-3 dt 20.4.23. The S.G. still adheres to his former suggestion that the medical officer in charge of the proposed dispensary at Gangolly may also look after Port Health duties. ~~It is now for consideration whether the draft memo may issue with the concurrence of the Finance Deptt.~~

(W.M. 9.5.23.) The Taluk Board pleads want of funds to open a dispensary. If a dispensary is opened, the Govt will have to contribute ½ half the initial & recurring charge under the commitment of 1915, quite independently of any arrangements made on Port Health work.

TJHR

It does not therefore seem to be at all inequitable to offer a contribution of 60% to Taluk Board equal to 4/5th the amount now spent on the Port Health Officer as suggested in the draft memo below. It may be left to the Finance Dept to decide how much of this contribution should be debited to Central Revenues on account of Port Health Work. The balance, if any, may be debited to 32-Med. grants to local bodies for hospls &dispys.

Ker
W[illegible]

S

The S. G has sent his reply after a delay of 8½ months!

TJHR
15/5/23

Please type copy of Mr Moir's remarks. I cannot read them. Pm 16.5.23.

Ker
A typed copy of Mr. Moir's remarks is subd at slip (S)

NR
16.5.23

I agree with both the note of Dr S. above.

Pm
17.5.23

Fin. (Hlth.).

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT.
21-MAY-1923
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

wadhwa
17/5

L. Sg. Ho no: 11169-IP.II. 19.5.23.

Mar C. no. 618 G
21.5.23

P.T.O.

8

Finance (Marine) Dept.

Please see this Department's notes on pages 3-5

At present there is a Sub Asst. Surgeon at the
t Gangolly for about nine months in the year (non-
noon period) to do duty as whole time port health
ficer and the whole cost is met from Central Revenues.
e Surgeon General has recommended the continuance of
is arrangement for port health purposes.

2. What the L.S.G. Department now propose practi-
lly amounts to this: that Local Fund should keep a
Asst. Surgeon at Gangolly throughout the year to do
pensary work in addition to port health duties and
t Government ~~(Central)~~ should contribute to the Local
d an amount equal to that which they are now incurring
respect of the port health officer. The net result
the proposal, so far as Central Revenues are concerned
that in return for a whole time port health officer
the port, they get a part time officer, while the cost
them remains unaltered. But as the port of Gangolly
a small port, it ought to be possible for the Sub Asst.
geon to do dispensary work also without detriment to
t health work, and in view of the Secretary's (Mr. Moir)
e at page 5 ante, the arrangement suggested in the
ft memo. may perhaps be accepted subject to the follow-
condition: the question of abolishing allowances to
l time port health officers is under consideration and
t is dedided to abolish these allowances, the contribu-
on payable from Government (Central) in respect of the S.A.S.
would be reduced
a corresponding amount.

and that Govt should decide what portion of this should be debited to Central.

D.S/30.S.23.
F.S.B.
M.S.-23

At Gangolly a S.A. Surgeon is maintained for 8½ months in the year at a cost of Rs 205 p.m. The amount is met from "Central" As he is a whole time port health officer. For the other 3½ months no health officer is required for port purposes -

Pay (Selection Grade officer) Rs 400-25-500

If a dispensary is opened and

a S.A. Surgeon is posted to attend to it as well as to Port health duties, the position will be that for 8½ months there will be a part time health officer for port work. In the other 3½ months he will have no port work at all to do. The proposal to charge the whole salary for 8½ months to Central under these ~~circumstances~~ conditions may not be equitable. It seems fairer that "Central" and the Taluk Board should bear the charge of the S.A. Surgeon in equal shares. Yes Adm.

SM
6.6.23
D.S.

I don't think it would be unfair to charge Central with ⅔rds of the pay only of the S-a-S.

Fry 8.6.23

To LSG

Mar Coll 8-6-23
8.6.23
wait 9/6

L.S.G. (P.H.) Dept.

- 11.6.23.

Please see this Dept's notes on pp. 6-7 ante. The Finance Dept: do not agree to meet more than ⅔rds of the pay of the S.A.S. from Central, and the balance of ⅓ is to be borne by the Taluk Boards instead of debiting it to 32 Med. Grants to local bodies for hospitals &

PTO

Govt. can ordinarily sanction recurring expendi-
-ture not exceeding Rs. 200 only a year. But
at present the pay & of the Subassistant
Surgeon at Gangolly which amounts in
the aggregate to more than Rs. 250 ~~per~~
annum is being paid from Central
funds. It appears that the sanction
of the Govt. of India need not previously
be obtained for the grant of the
contribution referred to above. As the
matter is however, not free from
doubt, the remarks of the Accountant
-General may be invited thereon
the ~~matter~~ point.



Sd/
7-7-23.

SW.
9-7-23
DS.
7/7/11.7.23

M.y. W.D. No 784 G/23-1
dt 8.4.7.23

ajw

O.F. 87—1,000—28-6-22.

U.O. 255.

ASSTANT SECRETARY TO
20 JUL 1923
MENT

Office of the Accountant-General,
Fort SaintGeorge, 19th July 1923.

Though the contribution should, on a literal interpretation of the rules, be governed by Rules 12 of theAgency Rules, I am prepared to waive a reference to the Government of India in as much as the proposal does not increase the existing liability of the Central Govern-ment.

A. G.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Finance (Marine) Department.

Mar C. 866 G
20-7-23

Finance (marine) Dept.

Please see the remarks of the A. S. above:
2. The draft order put up by the L. S. S. Deptt. may be accepted.

S.B.B.
20.7.23

S/M.
21-7-23

DS

Will LSG please circulate to Cy

LSG 23.7.23

LSG Deptt

Mar-NO. 7849/23-1
23-7-23

23 JUL 1923
17450
GOVERNMENT ASST. SECRETARY

Dr

May be circulated to M/ Cy

M/ Cy. BNR. 24/7

C/

Issue on 25/7

KM
24/7

I am sorry to delay this ancient file, but am doubtful if we are justified in making the proposed charge on Central.

2. If I understand the present regulations aright, we have no sort of check that will prevent persons suffering from plague entering the South Kanara district by road or rail. When we are thus unprotected against the entry of thousands by the commonest routes, it seems to me to be somewhat absurd to have special precautions to prevent the entry of plague patients among the few persons who arrive by country craft.

3. I should like this point to be examined again before the matter goes further.

Pu 22.8

21.8.23

L. S.G. (P.H.) Dept.

The foregoing remarks would convey the impression that the S. Kanara Dt. is freely accessible to all plague patients and persons coming from plague infected areas ~~entering the district~~ by road or rail. But this is not the real situation. According to regulations 8 and 10 of the Madras Plague Regulations issued in G.O. No. 1140 P.H. dt. 7.7.23, (D) there are checks for preventing a spread of the disease from one place to another. These precautions ~~would~~ ~~certainly be considered to be~~ seem sufficient to prevent the entry of plague patients by road or rail into any district.

mm 29.8.23.

Please see Art. 14 notification printed as an Appendix to G.O. 85 Pub. Marine dated 17/2/17. These regulations relate not only to

31/8/23

'31

Thompson dt 2

HSM 31/8/23

(I)

plague but to several of the infectious and contagious diseases, such as small-pox, cholera, yellow fever, sleeping sickness &c. Most of these diseases except yellow fever and sleeping sickness already exist in India, but protection is necessary to from yellow fever. I do not know to what extent there is direct communication between the west coast ports and Africa, but if this deadly disease is once introduced into India, most of the ports in India will probably be deserted. According to recent investigations conducted some years ago by the Yellow fever Commission, Stegomyia through which yellow fever is conveyed exist in enormous numbers in all the Indian sea ports, and all the conditions that will facilitate a rapid spread of this disease are also there.

It cannot be said that a port health officer is unnecessary, but I do not know to what extent the in-

Question how made: is effective.
I am assuming that it is.
The proposal does not involve any additional expenditure from Central funds.

Rcvd on 6-9-23

BTR
2/9/23

S
M. 6.9.23
C.

Rule 10 of the Plague Regulations is, I believe, never put into effect except during the progress of an epidemic. Therefore there is nothing to prevent a stray case of plague entering a district by land. And I don't think it is much use employing a special staff to control the ~~infinitesimally~~ comparatively small traffic by sea. As regards yellow fever, when that comes, it will not come from a coast port but ~~through~~ from a foreign port and it could only reach a place like Gangolly through a person who had transhipped at Bombay or somewhere else. In other words, the patient should be detected at the main port.

2. This is not the only case in which we have these isolated Port Health Officers for ports ~~xxxxxxx~~ which only do coasting trade. I would venture to ask that the Surgeon-General be requested to examine whether there is any real justification for their continued employment.

8/9/23

8.9

With reference to C.'s note on p. 15 supra it is submitted that the Fin.ce (Marine) Dept.t have called for reports from Collectors on the necessity for the port health establishment ~~throughout~~ - vide Memo No. 1038 G-23-1 dt. 30-8-23. This file may also be forwarded to Mr. to that Dept.t for consideration along with the main question. It is presumed that orders on this particular case will have to be deferred till the general question is decided.

(A)

Mr. D
12.9.23.

S

The notification already issued on 21 March last. We may write to T. B that "the question of the continuance of the establishment now employed for port health purposes is under separate consideration" and that the Govt. cannot in the circumstances comply with the request of the Dr and close this Record file

Yes. S.G. must BNR.

then he asked to report as in C.'s note. for 17.9.23 18/9/23

A draft order is submitted for approval.

2. With reference to Hr Secy's remarks at the bottom of the previous page, a draft memo to Hr Secy. is submitted for approval. It may be sent to Finance for ~~formal sanction~~ issue as Port Health establishments are dealt with in that dept.

mm 19.9.23.

BNR 21/9

kcm 21/9/23.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT. 22 SEP 1923 FINANCE DEPARTMENT

L. S. G. no. 20:
11169-3 P.H.
21.9.23.

Finance (Marine) ——→ Hr Secy

Finance (Marine) Dept.

The draft order put up by L.S.G. may be accepted in this department.

2. As regards the memo to the S.G. regarding the continuance of port health establishments at ports which carry on only coasting traffic, it is submitted that the question is under separate consideration in this department and the Dy. Secretary is proposing to have a personal discussion with the S.G. about the matter. The memo. to the S.G. seems therefore unnecessary and L.S.G. may be informed accordingly.

mar c. 1161 G

Ref. to the Memo. in

Memo to Secy.

9.9. 10.23.

Yes — Dy Secy has no objection. I should like to have this file when we meet for discussion with S.G.

A.

Dy 4/10/23

L S G no

Mar. no. d 1161 G/23-1 G 4/10-23

Finance (Marine). After issue of order.

BNR 5/10.

(Issued as G.O. 1676 P.H. dtd. 6.10.23)

LEGAL ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT. DEPARTMENT 5 OCT 1923

23557

Submitted to the Dy. Secretary with reference to his note at A above.

S.G. 6.10.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT. 10 OCT. 1923 FINANCE DEPARTMENT

L. S. G. no. 1169-4 P.H. 8.10.23.

Scott. II-10 (a)—10,002—22-8-22. (6)

L. S. G. (P.H.) DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	I
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		
When examined ..	6/7	
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated ..... 192 .

" " " " ..... " ..... 192 .

READ ALSO;—

C. No. 1572, From the P. J. B. Coondapoor Div, No. 10 D/c 23/22 dated 12. 1. 22.

Enclosement of the P. D. B. S. Kanara r. Diary 103/DB/22 & 23. 1. 22.

" " 6292 " " Surgeon General " 630G-2 " " 3. 3. 1922

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... " 192

ORDER. Mis.

No 1676 P.H. dated 6. 10. 1922

The Govt. are considering the question whether it is ~~necessary to employ~~ should be retained a medical officer for post. health purposes at Gangolly ~~for the~~ Hence circumstances, They cannot comply with the request of the Board at present.

To

The P. J. B. Coondapoor
" P. D. B. S. Kanara. } W/o.
" L.G.